1	parties' franchise agreement timely and for a legitimate reason under the Petroleum Marketing	
2	Practices Act (the "PMPA") and Plaintiff failed to demand a "bona fide offer" from	
3	ConocoPhillips to sell its equipment and improvements at the subject service station to	
4	Plaintiff within the time required by the PMPA, and Count I therefore fails to state a claim upon	
5	which relief can be granted; and 2) Count II for declaratory relief fails to state a claim upon	
6	which relief can be granted as it addresses past conduct, rather than the prospective rights of the	
7	parties, and in any event is redundant of, and therefore fails as a matter of law for the same	
8	reasons as, Plaintiff's PMPA claim.	
9	This motion is based upon this Notice of Motion and Motion, and the Memorandum of	
10	Points and Authorities submitted herewith, all orders, pleadings and papers on file in this action,	
11	and upon such other matters of which the Court may take judicial notice or which may be	
12	presented to the Court at the time of hearing.	
13	Dated: December 20, 2007	
14		GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP CLEMENT L. GLYNN
15		ADAM FRIEDENBERG One Walnut Creek Center
16		100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596
17		O_{1}
18		By Children
19		Attorneys for Defendant ConocoPhillips Company
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		